

Bruce had intended to maintain his website, but the last two years of his life were dominated by cancer-either the attempt to prevail against it or the effort to die from it. Mixed in with those tasks were efforts to complete his research. Bruce had been working on a span theory paper to be submitted to *The Psychological Record*. For those of you who are not familiar with the ravages of cancer and dying from cancer, working on a submission is really hard. However, Bruce gave it his best shot and at the end of August 2016 he sent off his paper *The Memory Span Experiment: A Behavioral Analysis*.

Bruce feared, quite rightly, that he would not live to see it accepted, much less be able to make any revisions which surely would be requested. Dennis Delprato, a colleague and good friend, very kindly and nobly offered to do the revisions should the paper be accepted. Both Bruce and I were grateful and relieved at that offer. Though I could read and help edit Bruce's work and had lived all my adult life with span theory, I was in no way qualified to make revisions for publication.

As it turned out Bruce died in mid-October and the paper was accepted, pending revisions, shortly after that. Dennis began the revisions and the paper was resubmitted in February 2017, under a slightly different title *The Simple Memory Span Experiment: A Behavioral Analysis*. As I read the published version, revised by Dennis Delprato, and Bruce's submitted version, I am not sure they are truly equivalent. I suspect that Bruce would agree that the essence of span theory is missing, and that the revised version was made more "palatable" for the reviewers. Bruce had a long history of difficulty of getting his work, which he deemed naturally enough, very important and perhaps too extreme for mainstream psychology into publication.

While he wanted to get his work into print and his viewpoint more widely accepted, I am not sure that Bruce would have totally approved of the revision. I think he would have preferred to remain true to his long held view of span theory. Regardless, I am posting Bruce's submitted version to his web page. As I see it, it is so different from the published paper that I do not believe it violates any ethical principle to do so.

I cannot, in good conscience, let Bruce's words die with him, though it has taken me some time to get this to his web page. I hope some folks will read both versions and judge for themselves. Bruce had much to offer, despite being rejected so many times. I believe had he lived, he would have finally been able get his views more widely known.